

Statement of Common Ground with East Riding of Yorkshire Council (draft)

Revision 2 (tracked)

AugustSeptember 2025

RWE

Contents

1	INTRODUCTION	1
	1 Purpose of this document1	
1	Parties to this Statement of Common Ground	
1.	3 Terminology	
2	RECORD OF ENGAGEMENT	3
2.	1 Summary of consultation and engagement	
3	CURRENT POSITION	7
4	SIGNATURES	22

1 Introduction

1.1 Purpose of this document

- 1.1.1 This Statement of Common Ground (hereafter referred to as the 'SoCG') has been prepared to support the Examination of the Development Consent Order (DCO) application (the 'DCO Application') for Peartree Hill Solar Farm (the 'Proposed Development').
- 1.1.2 The DCO Application is for a Nationally Significant Infrastructure Project (NSIP) for the construction, operation (including maintenance) and decommissioning of a solar photovoltaic (PV) array electricity generating facility, Battery Energy Storage System (BESS) and associated infrastructure which would allow for the generation and export of electricity.
- 1.1.3 The SoCG is a 'live' document that has been prepared collaboratively by the Applicant and the Consultee (East Riding of Yorkshire Council).
- 1.1.4 The SoCG has been prepared in accordance with the Guidance for examination of DCO applications which was published in 2024 by the Department for Levelling Up, Housing and Communities¹.

1.1.5 This Guidance comments that:

"A statement of common ground is a written statement prepared jointly by the applicant and another party or parties, setting out any matters on which they agree, or indeed disagree. A SoCG helps to ensure that the evidence at examination focuses on the material differences between the main parties and therefore makes best use of the lines of questioning pursued by the Examining Authority'.

¹ Planning Act 2008: Examination stage for Nationally Significant Infrastructure Projects (April 2024)

- 1.1.6 The aim of this SoCG is to therefore provide a clear position of the progress and agreement made or not yet made between the Applicant and East Riding of Yorkshire Council on matters relating to the Proposed Development. Where matters are yet to be agreed, the parties will continue to proactively work to reach agreement.
- 1.1.7 The SoCG will be updated as more information becomes available and as a result of ongoing discussions between the Applicant and East Riding of Yorkshire Council.

1.2 Parties to this Statement of Common Ground

- 1.2.1 This SoCG has been prepared by (1) the Applicant and (2) East Riding of Yorkshire Council.
- 1.2.2 East Riding of Yorkshire Council is the host local authority for the Proposed Development, with the Order Limits located entirely within its boundary.
- 1.2.3 Collectively, the Applicant and East Riding of Yorkshire Council are referred to as 'the parties'.

1.3 Terminology

- 1.3.1 Section 3 of this document sets out the relevant matters raised though discussion between the parties. It provides a summary of the position of each party and identifies the status of discussions on each matter:
 - "Agreed" indicates where the issue has been resolved between the parties and is not anticipated to be subject to further discussions;
 - "Under discussion" indicates where a matter remains in active dialogue between the parties and a final position has not yet been reached;
 - "Not Agreed" indicates where the parties have established a final position that they cannot resolve the matter and will remain a point of difference.

2 Record of Engagement

2.1 Summary of consultation and engagement

2.1.1 The parties have been engaged in consultation and engagement throughout the development of the Proposed Development. Table 1 shows a summary of the meetings and correspondence that have taken place to date between the Applicant and East Riding of Yorkshire Council in relation to the Proposed Development. This is limited to engagement which is materially relevant to the contents of this SoCG and does not seek to include every piece of correspondence between the parties (e.g. that which was primarily administrative).

Table 1: Record of Engagement since August 2023

Date	Purpose of engagement	Description
23 August 2023	To introduce the Proposed Development to East Riding of Yorkshire Council's Principal Planning Officer.	Online meeting in which the Applicant provided an initial briefing on the Proposed Development.
25 January 2024	To consult with East Riding of Yorkshire Council's Trees and Nature Conservation Team Leader.	Online meeting to discuss baseline ecology data and key issues.
6 February 2024	To reach agreement with East Riding of Yorkshire Council's Archaeological Advisor on appropriate archaeological	Online meeting to discuss archaeological constraints, trial trenching approach, and potential mitigation.
May – August 2024	mitigation measures and the trial trenching strategy.	Email correspondence to determine details of trial trenching and archaeological monitoring in Land Area F.
16 February 2024	To reach agreement with East Riding of Yorkshire Council's appointed landscape consultants (2B Consultants) on a number of matters	Email from the Applicant to confirm viewpoint locations and visualisation types. At this stage East Riding of Yorkshire Council had no available landscape officer.
23 July 2024	relating to Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment (LVIA).	Online meeting with East Riding of Yorkshire Council's appointed landscape consultants to discuss the above matters.

Date	Purpose of engagement	Description
28 August		Site walkover to discuss design
2024		proposals.
September –		Email correspondence in relation to
October 2024		viewpoints and photomontages.
19 February	To reach agreement with East	Online meeting to discuss
2024	Riding of Yorkshire Council's	construction vehicle access
	Highways Officers on	locations, routes and indicative
	proposed construction access	highways mitigation.
6 June 2024	and highways works.	Online meeting to discuss mitigation,
		e.g. speed reductions and passing
		places.
August –		Email correspondence to discuss
October 2024		details of highways works and traffic
22 Enhance	To reach agreement with Fact	Measures.
23 February 2024	To reach agreement with East	Online meeting to discuss the draft Stage 1 Settings Assessment and to
2024	Riding of Yorkshire Council's Conservation Officer on the	confirm scoped-in assets.
	initial heritage settings	commin scoped-in assets.
	assessment.	
May 2024	To reach agreement with East	Email correspondence to discuss
	Riding of Yorkshire Council's	undertaking geophysical survey and
	Archaeological Advisor on the	trial trenching of the cable route
	archaeological geophysical	post-determination.
	survey approach	·
21 May 2024	First in a series of monthly	Monthly online meetings to provide
(and	meetings with East Riding of	East Riding of Yorkshire Council's
recurring	Yorkshire Council as part of	Principal Planning Officer with
monthly)	the Planning Performance	regular updates.
2004	Agreement.	
6 June 2024	To reach agreement with East	Online meeting to discuss the
	Riding of Yorkshire Council	approach to drainage and mitigation
	(Lead Local Flood Authority)	of possible prolonged periods of
August 2025	on the drainage strategy.	flooding. Email correspondence to provide an
August 2025		update in relation to the surface
		water drainage strategy
July –	To reach agreement with East	Email correspondence to discuss the
November	Riding of Yorkshire Council's	shortlist of other developments to be
2024	Principal Planning Officer on	included in the cumulative effects
	the cumulative effects	assessment and the methodology.
	assessment approach.	
17 July 2024	To discuss East Riding of	Online meeting to discuss East
	Yorkshire Council's response	Riding of Yorkshire Council's
	to the PEIR in relation to	comments on the PEIR and to

Date	Purpose of engagement	Description
	ecology/ biodiversity with East	outline the next steps in the design
	Riding of Yorkshire Council's	of the Proposed Development.
	Trees and Nature	· ·
	Conservation Team Leader.	
28 August	To reach agreement with East	Email from the Applicant sharing the
2024	Riding of Yorkshire Council's	Transport Assessment Scoping
	Highways Officers on the	Report.
16 September	proposed scope for the	Email from East Riding of Yorkshire
2024	Transport Assessment (TA).	Council confirming the TA scope
		was acceptable.
September	To reach agreement on the	Email correspondence to discuss the
2024	proposed approach in relation	scoping out of material assets and
	to material assets and waste.	waste as a separate ES chapter.
October 2024	To reach agreement on the	Email correspondence to discuss the
	proposed approach in relation	inclusion of minerals safeguarding in
	to mineral safeguarding.	the Planning Statement rather than
0.11.0001	T	the ES.
October 2024	To reach agreement with East	Email correspondence to discuss the
	Riding of Yorkshire Council's	proposed approach to the
	Archaeological Advisor on the	Archaeological Management
	approach to the Archaeological	Strategy.
November	Management Strategy To reach agreement with East	Email from the Applicant proposing
2024 –	Riding of Yorkshire Council's	to scope geological hazards out of
January 2025	advisors on soils and minerals	assessment in the ES. Follow up
	matters (Landscope) on the	emails requesting a response. No
	proposed approach in relation	response received from Landscope
	to geological hazards.	to date.
December	To consult East Riding of	Email correspondence to discuss the
2024	Yorkshire Council's Highways	proposed AIL routes and potential
	Officers on Abnormal	day/ nighttime road closure of
	Indivisible Loads (AILs)	Meaux Lane.
3 December	To provide an update on the	Email from the Applicant sharing the
2024	project and reach agreement	Biodiversity Mitigation Strategy (later
	on any outstanding issues.	developed/ incorporated into the
		submitted Outline Landscape and
		Ecological Management Plan
		(Outline LEMP) [APP-156]) and
		setting out the proposed outstanding
		issues to be included in the
		Potential Main Issues for
		Examination [APP-148].

Date	Purpose of engagement	Description
23 January 2025	To confirm that Water would be scoped out as an ES chapter.	Email from the Applicant stating that, in agreement with the Environment Agency, surface water and flood risk would be scoped out of the ES.
21 May 2025	To discuss outstanding Public Rights of Way (PRoW) claims with East Riding of Yorkshire Council's Definitive Map team.	Online meeting to discuss the nature of any outstanding PRoW claims and the process for adding them to the definitive map.
28 May 2025	To discuss proposed PRoW management with East Riding of Yorkshire Council's Countryside Access Officer.	Online meeting to discuss points raised by East Riding of Yorkshire Council on the submitted Outline Rights of Way and Access Management Plan [APP-160].
July 2025	To discuss outstanding biodiversity issues with East Riding of Yorkshire Council's Trees and Nature Conservation Team Leader.	Online meeting and follow-up email correspondence to provide an update on the Proposed Development and to discuss outstanding matters relating to biodiversity.
20 August 2025	To discuss implications of Changes 3 and 9 (set out in the Second Notification of Proposed Changes to the DCO Application [AS-015]) for transport and access.	Online meeting to discuss the proposed changes to the DCO Application and agree on suitable measures to mitigate impacts on the existing road network and manage traffic as a result of the changes.

3 Current Position

- 3.1.1 The table below provides a summary of the current position of the Applicant and East Riding of Yorkshire Council in relation to specific matters that have been under discussion to date.
- 3.1.2 Where a matter is not represented in the table, it should be assumed that it is either: (i) agreed between the parties and has not been the subject of detailed discussion; or (ii) not relevant to the discussion between the parties.
- 3.1.3 As noted above, this is a 'live' document and there are some aspects that are still under discussion between the parties. The intention is to provide a final position in subsequent versions of the SoCG, addressing and identifying where changes have been made and where agreement has been reached between the parties.

Table 2: Current position of the Applicant and East Riding of Yorkshire Council in relation to specific matters that have been under discussion to date

Ref	Topic	East Riding of Yorkshire Council's Position	Applicant's Position	Status
Cultural Heri	tage – Archaeology (Development Management Archaeologist for East Riding o	f Yorkshire Council & Hull City Council)	
ERYC01	Buffer zone around below- ground heritage assets Cultural Heritage	The Development Management Archaeologist for East Riding of Yorkshire Council & Hull City Council agreed with a proposed 20m buffer around heritage assets HA1, HA2 and HA3. They confirmed that archaeologically 'blank' areas recorded by the geophysical survey of the Site require archaeological testing.	The Applicant welcomes this response. See ES Volume 2, Chapter 9: Cultural Heritage [EN010157/APP/6.2 Revision 2] for details.	Agreed
ERYC02	Pre- determination trial trenching Cultural Heritage	The Development Management Archaeologist for East Riding of Yorkshire Council & Hull City Council agreed with the proposed approach to pre-determination trial trenching, including trenching sample size, depth of trenches, and approach to avoiding land drains.	The Applicant welcomes this response. See ES Volume 2, Chapter 9: Cultural Heritage [EN010157/APP/6.2 Revision 2] and ES Volume 4, Appendix 9.3: Archaeological Trial Trenching Report [APP-069] for details.	
ERYC03	Post- determination works Cultural Heritage	The Development Management Archaeologist for East Riding of Yorkshire Council & Hull City Council agreed with the activities to be undertaken post-determination, including geophysical survey and archaeological trial trenching of the cable routes; archaeological trial trenching of the solar PV module areas; and archaeological monitoring of the installation of an access road and temporary compound in Land Area F, which encroach into the footprint of heritage asset HA3.	The Applicant welcomes this response. See ES Volume 2, Chapter 9: Cultural Heritage [EN010157/APP/6.2 Revision 2] and the Archaeological Management Strategy [EN010157/APP/7.11 Revision 2] for details.	Agreed
Cultural Heri	tage – Building Cons	ervation/Setting		
ERYC04	Settings assessment Cultural Heritage	East Riding of Yorkshire Council's Conservation Team Leader confirmed that the methodology and scope for the setting assessment were acceptable, as were the proposed mitigation measures to reduce/ minimise impacts.	The Applicant welcomes this response. See ES Volume 2, Chapter 9: Cultural Heritage [EN010157/APP/6.2 Revision 2] and ES Volume 4, Appendix 9.4: Detailed Settings Impact Assessment [EN010157/APP/6.4 Revision 2] for more details.	Agreed
ERYC05	Level of harm to Meaux Abbey Farm and Wawne Grange Cultural Heritage	East Riding of Yorkshire Council's Conservation Team Leader commented that, while they would place the level of harm to significance (with regard to paragraph 215 of the National Planning Policy Framework ²) as being marginally higher in relation to Meaux Abbey Farm and Wawne Grange, they do not fundamentally disagree with the conclusion of no significant residual effects to these assets.	The Applicant welcomes this response. The Applicant has concluded in Table 9-8 'Assessment summary' of ES Volume 2, Chapter 9: Cultural Heritage [EN010157/APP/6.2 Revision 2] that the potential magnitude of impact on the setting of Meaux Abbey Farm and Wawne Grange, following the implementation of mitigation measures, would be Minor and therefore the residual effect would be not significant.	Agreed
ERYC06	Passing place opposite Meaux Abbey Farm	East Riding of Yorkshire Council's Conservation Team Leader commented that Change 9 (from targeted consultation), which proposes a vehicle passing place	Whilst the Applicant has considered alternative locations for passing places, it is considered necessary to create one at this location due to its position in relation to bends in the road. In	Under discussion

² https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/media/67aafe8f3b41f783cca46251/NPPF December 2024.pdf

Ref	Topic	East Riding of Yorkshire Council's Position	Applicant's Position	Status
	Cultural Heritage	opposite Meaux Abbey Farm (a grade II listed building), could negatively impact the building's setting. The farm's significance partly comes from its relationship with the surrounding agricultural environment. To protect this, they recommend omitting the use of this road or relocating the passing place to the north or south of the farm.	addition, at this location, there is a wide area of highway verge meaning the passing place could be constructed with no impact on hedgerows, which will minimise any potential ecological impacts. The potential impact on the setting of Meaux Abbey Farm has been assessed in ES Volume 4, Appendix 9.4: Detailed Settings Impact Assessment [EN010157/APP/6.4 Revision 2] and ES Volume 2, Chapter 9: Cultural Heritage [EN010157/APP/6.2 Revision 2], which conclude that the residual effect would be Minor, and therefore not significant, following the implementation of protective measures in the Outline Construction Environmental Management Plan (Outline CEMP) [EN010157/APP/7.2 Revision 2] and Outline Operational Environmental Management Plan (Outline DEMP) [EN010157/APP/7.4 Revision 2] if necessary).	
Biodiversity			,	
ERYC07	Protected sites – bird surveys Biodiversity	East Riding of Yorkshire Council agreed that the bird surveys carried out for the Land Areas are sufficient to inform the Habitats Regulations Assessment – Information to Inform Appropriate Assessment [APP-145] and stated that it would comment on the results of the results of the passage and wintering bird surveys of the grid connection cable route when available.	The Applicant welcomes this response. Since this comment, the Grid Connection Cable Route Bird Survey Report [EN010157/APP/8.3], which is submitted at Deadline 1, has been shared with East Riding of Yorkshire Council. The results have also been incorporated into the updated Habitats Regulations Assessment – Information to Inform Appropriate Assessment [EN010157/APP/5.3 Revision 2], which is submitted at Deadline 1. It is worth noting that a similar suite of species to those recorded within Land Areas B to F were also recorded within the grid connection cable route. As such, since a precautionary approach had already been taken in the assessment, the additional data does not change the conclusions of the assessment.	Under discussion
ERYC08	Protected sites – suitability of mitigation areas (wet grassland) Biodiversity	East Riding of Yorkshire Council advises that wet grassland is difficult to create unless there are suitable hydrological ground conditions and has concerns as to the delivery of this habitat, particularly in proposed mitigation area 11 (Field E6). It advises that it may be better to create permanent grassland instead.	The Applicant agrees to this request. Proposals for 'wet grassland' with scrapes have been replaced with flower-rich 'neutral grassland' with scrapes in the updated Outline LEMP [EN010157/APP/7.2 Revision 3] , which is submitted at Deadline 1. The updated Outline LEMP [EN010157/APP/7.5 Revision 3] that is submitted at Deadline 1 has also been updated with further information regarding the viability of the proposed scrapes within the mitigation areas, including additional hydrological information regarding the current hydrological statuses of the fields available at this stage of the Proposed Development. An initial review of hydrological and soil information indicates that mitigation areas 11 and 13 are suitable locations to create scrapes successfully, with the exact locations of the scrapes to be determined by preconstruction hydrological studies.	Agreed

Ref	Topic	East Riding of Yorkshire Council's Position	Applicant's Position	Status
ERYC09	Protected sites – suitability of mitigation areas (enclosure and disturbance from recreational activities) Biodiversity	East Riding of Yorkshire Council has concerns in relation to the extent of enclosure of mitigation area 11 (Field E6) and the introduction of permissive paths around mitigation areas 11 (Field E6) and 9 (Field D18), stating that the land needs to be reasonably open and human activity minimised. East Riding of Yorkshire Council notes that mitigation area 13 (Fields E13 and E14) is well-placed, has good likelihood for proposed scrapes to hold water, and that existing hedgerows do not pose a constraint to use.	The Outline LEMP [EN010157/APP/7.5 Revision 3] has been updated to provide further assessment of the suitability and the potential carrying capacity of each mitigation area to deliver mitigation for the intended species. The updated document is submitted at Deadline 1. The Applicant is investigating ways to address issues of disturbance due to permissive paths around mitigation areas, such as the re-routing of the proposed permissive paths around mitigation areas 9 and 11, the use of signage in appropriate locations instructing dog walkers to keep their dogs on a lead and/ or additional fencing. The Applicant will continue to engage with East Riding of Yorkshire Council on this topic. The Outline LEMP [EN010157/APP/7.5 Revision 3] and ES Volume 3, Figure 3.4: Indicative Environmental Masterplan [APP-058] will be updated to reflect any changes as necessary and submitted at subsequent deadlines. The Applicant will continue to engage with East Riding of Yorkshire Council on this topic.	Under discussion
ERYC10	Protected sites – mitigation areas (noise and visual disturbance) Biodiversity	East Riding of Yorkshire Council defers to Natural England guidance which states that noise impacts during construction should be assessed up to 300m from source and should be considered relative to the background noise levels. It also notes that the Habitats Regulations Assessment – Information to Inform Appropriate Assessment [APP-145] states that visual and acoustic barriers (typically 3m high) would be installed between bird mitigation areas and the working area where necessary. East Riding of Yorkshire Council considers this appropriate where existing vegetation screens views of construction areas, however where open views will be lost due to the proposed development, the loss of sight lines may pose a constraint to the success of SPA/Ramsar bird mitigation areas during the construction phase due to the enclosure created by the acoustic fences.	Within Fields E4, E5 and E15, E17 and D17 (adjacent to the mitigation areas associated with the Humber Estuary designated sites), the Applicant will avoid completing the activities most likely to disturb birds (e.g. loud activities such as piling, installing access tracks, laying cables, etc.) during winter (October to March). Only activities less likely to disturb birds (e.g. commissioning works including panel installation) would take place in these fields during winter, if necessary. Noise modelling is therefore not proposed. This approach was agreed in principle during a meeting with Natural England on 19 June 2025. Should this not be possible, acoustic fencing would be installed for the construction period to provide a noise and visual barrier, in addition to any hedgerow screening already in place. The Habitats Regulations Assessment - Information to inform Appropriate Assessment [EN010157/APP/5.3 Revision 2] has been updated to include this information and the mitigation measures are secured in the updated Outline CEMP [EN010157/APP/7.2 Revision 2]. The updated documents are submitted at Deadline 1.	Under discussion
ERYC11	Protected sites – water quality impacts (cleaning of	East Riding of Yorkshire Council acknowledges that water quality improvements during operation would be significant due to changes in land management but	The Applicant agrees to this request. The Outline Operational Environmental Management Plan [EN010157/APP/7.3 Revision 2] has been updated to clarify that the solar PV modules will be cleaned using deionised water only and therefore there would be no	Agreed

Ref	Topic	East Riding of Yorkshire Council's Position	Applicant's Position	Status
	solar PV	requests confirmation that only water would be used for	impacts on water quality as a result of this activity. The updated	
	modules)	cleaning of solar PV modules.	document is submitted at Deadline 1.	
ED)(0.40	Biodiversity		T. A. P. (1)	
ERYC12	Protected sites	East Riding of Yorkshire Council notes that the Habitats	The Applicant has produced a standalone water resources note	Under discussion
	– water supply impacts	Regulations Assessment – Information to Inform Appropriate Assessment Table 4-12 [APP-145]	(Appendix 1 - Water Resources Technical Note to the Response to Relevant Representations [EN010157/APP/8.3], which is	
	impacts	considers potential effects from the release of breakout	submitted at Deadline 1) to clarify that water used during	
	Water	contaminants, particularly bentonite during horizontal	construction would be tankered in from mains and therefore no	
	Resources	directional drilling (HDD), and water supply impacts	abstractions would be required. There will be a betterment in terms	
		which may arise due to abstraction, while the Outline	of water use during operation, compared to the existing water use	
		CEMP (APP-153) states that a Piling Risk Assessment	within the Order Limits, given the negligible use when the Proposed	
		will be prepared, if piling is required as part of the	Development is operational.	
		Proposed Development. However, East Riding of		
		Yorkshire Council comments that water supply impacts	The Habitats Regulations Assessment - Information to inform	
		do not appear to be addressed elsewhere and requests clarification.	Appropriate Assessment [EN010157/APP/5.3 Revision 2] has been updated to clarify that no water is to be abstracted for HDD	
		Clarification.	works. HDD wastewater (including bentonite) will be removed from	
			site in bowser trucks and, where necessary, remaining wastewater	
			will be incarcerated within the launch pit and transported to a	
			specialised local facility for disposal. Should abstraction be	
			required, suitable licences would be sought post consent and prior	
			to commencement of Proposed Development. The updated	
ED) (0.10	<u> </u>		document is submitted at Deadline 1.	
ERYC13	Protected sites	East Riding of Yorkshire Council notes that, as secured in the Design Parameters Desument (ARR 150), HDD	The preferred timings to undertake the HDD would be during spring/	Under discussion
	– lamprey	in the Design Parameters Document [APP-150], HDD launch and receptor pits would be located approximately	summer (April to September), when the ground conditions would be drier, which would avoid the peak river lamprey migration period.	
	Biodiversity	50m either side of the River Hull and HDD will take place	While the Applicant cannot commit to this restriction at this stage, it	
	2.00 or only	at a minimum depth of 7m below the river bed, which	will adhere to these timings where possible.	
		would mitigate effects from EMF on lamprey. East Riding		
		of Yorkshire Council notes that the Habitats Regulations	As detailed in Section 7.5 of the updated Habitats Regulations	
		Assessment - Information to inform Appropriate	Assessment - Information to inform Appropriate Assessment	
		Assessment [APP-145] also states that the cable will	[EN010157/APP/5.3 Revision 2], in the unlikely event that it is not	
		have 'an insulating layer' and that preferred timings to	possible to avoid the river lamprey migration period, no adverse	
		undertake the Horizontal Directional Drilling would be 'spring/summer (April to September)' which would 'avoid	effects on the integrity of the SAC/Ramsar site populations are anticipated given that the HDD under the River Hull would be at a	
		the peak lamprey migration period', and requests that	minimum depth of 7m, very short-term (estimated to take a	
		these commitments be secured within the Design	maximum of 24 hours), and that fish without a swim bladder (which	
		Parameters Document [APP-150] and the Outline CEMP	includes lamprey) have the lowest sensitivity to noise/ vibration.	
		[APP153] respectively.		
			The updated Habitats Regulations Assessment - Information to	
			inform Appropriate Assessment [EN010157/APP/5.3 Revision 2] is submitted at Deadline 1.	
			2) is submitted at Deadline 1.	

Ref	Topic	East Riding of Yorkshire Council's Position	Applicant's Position	Status
			The Environment Agency and Natural England have confirmed that they consider this matter to be resolved, based on the above and the fact that the Outline CEMP [EN010157/APP/7.2 Revision 2] has been updated (and is submitted at Deadline 1) to secure the measures relating to distance of HDD pits from Main Rivers (50m), depth of HDD under the River Hull (7m) and that cabling under the River Hull would include an insulating layer.	
ERYC14	Figham Pastures Local Wildlife Site (LWS) – trenching Biodiversity	East Riding of Yorkshire Council agrees that the proposed 1.5m maximum trench width and reinstatement of turfs within 48 hours in relation to works in Figham Pastures LWS would be acceptable.	The Applicant welcomes this response.	Agreed
ERYC15	Figham Pastures Local Wildlife Site (LWS) – vehicle movements and site cabins Biodiversity	East Riding of Yorkshire Council requests clarity regarding the use of 'where reasonably practicable' in relation to works not being undertaken between October and March in Figham pastures LWS, as set out in the Outline CEMP [APP-153], particularly for vehicle movements. It also seeks justification as to why site cabins may need to be located on Figham Pastures LWS.	The Applicant will continue to engage with East Riding of Yorkshire Council on this topic.	Under discussion
ERYC16	Figham Pastures LWS – injurious weeds Biodiversity	East Riding of Yorkshire Council requests that the monitoring regime for reinstated habitats should be extended should injurious weeds dominate within Table 20-1 of the oLEMP (PDA-018).	The Applicant agrees to this request. Table 20-1 within the Outline LEMP [EN010157/APP/7.5 Revision 3] will be has been updated to reflect this and is submitted at Deadline 2 resubmitted at subsequent deadlines.	Agreed
ERYC17	Protected species (general) – mitigation measures Biodiversity	East Riding of Yorkshire Council would expect to see embedded best practice avoidance and mitigation measures outlined within Table 5-1 of the Outline CEMP [APP-153] for protected species.	The Construction Environmental Management Plan (which is secured by Requirement 4 in Schedule 2, Part 1 of the Draft Development Consent Order [EN010157/APP/3.1 Revision 5]) will contain embedded mitigation and best practice measures for legally protected species once pre-construction ecology surveys are undertaken. The Applicant feels the level of information provided within the Table 5.1 of Outline CEMP [EN010157/APP/7.2 Revision 3] regarding protected species is appropriate at this stage of the Proposed Development. The Applicant agrees to this request. Table 5.1 within the Outline CEMP [EN010157/APP/7.2 Revision 2] will be updated to contain embedded mitigation and best practice measures for legally protected species and will be resubmitted at subsequent deadlines.	Under discussionAgreed
ERYC18	Bats Biodiversity	East Riding of Yorkshire Council welcomes the retention of trees/structure suitable for roosting bats and the inclusion of built features for bats. It notes bat foraging routes are to be maintained where breaks in hedgerows are required through the "temporary installation of	The Applicant will continue to engage with East Riding of Yorkshire Council on this topic.	Under discussion

Ref	Topic	East Riding of Yorkshire Council's Position	Applicant's Position	Status
		structures", either fencing with camouflage type netting		
		on top or filled with brash and recommend that netting should be of a type not to cause wildlife entrapment and		
		use of brash should be prioritised.		
ERYC19	Water vole and otter Biodiversity	East Riding of Yorkshire Council recommend that preconstruction surveys for water vole and otter should be undertaken for impacted watercourses found to be 'suitable' and above for both otters and water vole. Surveys for otter should extend up to 200m up and downstream of each crossing point (where open cut techniques required) and up to 5-10m from each bank as appropriate. Water vole surveys should be extended in accordance with the guidance in Box 1 of the Water Vole Mitigation Handbook.	The Applicant agrees to this request. ES Volume 2, Chapter 7: Biodiversity [EN010157/APP/6.2 Revision 2] and the Outline CEMP [EN010157/APP/7.2 Revision 2] have been updated to ensure pre-construction water vole and otter surveys use appropriate guidance. This includes, where land access permits, undertaking water vole surveys 100m downstream and upstream from proposed culverts and watercourse crossing points which affect watercourses assessed as 'suitable but poor to optimal suitability' within ES Volume 4, Appendix 7.7: Water Vole and Otter Habitat Suitability Report [APP-111]. Where land access permits, pre-construction otter surveys will be undertaken of suitable habitat within 200m of the proposed works.	Agreed
			The updated documents are submitted at Deadline 1.	
ERYC20	Fish	East Riding of Yorkshire Council requests clarification on whether open cut crossings or installation of box culverts	The Applicant will continue to engage with East Riding of Yorkshire Council on this topic.	Under discussion
	Biodiversity	would impact movement of fish during construction and that any necessary associated mitigation measures should be secured.		
ERYC21	Breeding birds	East Riding of Yorkshire Council notes that monitoring measures are included in the Outline LEMP [PDA-018]	The Applicant agrees to this request. Section 19.3 of the Outline LEMP [EN010157/APP/7.5 Revision 3] has been updated to	Agreed
	Biodiversity	but suggests that triggers should be included to indicate when remedial action should be implemented. It recommends that monitoring should include breeding bird activity as well as habitat condition, and that further details should be provided on target sward height for breeding birds, for example nesting skylarks avoid vegetation over 60cm and lapwings prefer more open swards.	include indicative roles and responsibilities, an indicative management and monitoring programme, and targets for success criteria and potential remedial actions. Section 14.2.5 of the Outline LEMP [EN010157/APP/7.5 Revision 3] has been added to provide detail regarding grassland sward height within the breeding bird mitigation areas and remedial action if required. The updated documents are submitted at Deadline 1.	
ERYC22	Lighting	East Riding of Yorkshire Council notes that infrared	As detailed within Outline CEMP [EN010157/APP/7.2 Revision 2]	Under discussion
	Biodiversity	sensor triggered security lighting would be required around key electrical infrastructure and that the lighting design would seek to limit any impact on sensitive receptors. It recommends that Lux level impacts on adjacent habitats during construction, operation and decommissioning be less than 1 lux at sensitive ecological receptors.	core construction working hours will be between 07:00 and 19:00, therefore reducing potential lighting effects on bats. The Applicant is required to comply with certain health and safety regulations but, as detailed within Outline CEMP [EN010157/APP/7.2 Revision 2] , construction lighting will be kept to a minimum and not directed towards hedgerows, tree lines, watercourses, badger setts, ecological mitigation and enhancement areas. Table 4-1 of the Outline DEMP [EN010157/APP/7.4 Revision 2] also provides detail regarding measures to reduce effects on sensitive ecological receptor from lighting during decommissioning. As detailed within Outline OEMP [EN010157/APP/7.3 Revision 2] no areas of the	

Ref	Topic	East Riding of Yorkshire Council's Position	Applicant's Position	Status
			Site during the operational stage will be continuously lit. However, motion sensor infrared security lighting will be used for security and operational purposes within the two substations. The two substations are positioned away from sensitive ecological receptors, or appropriate buffers in place to minimise any potential disturbance.	
ERYC23	Trees (veteran trees) Biodiversity	East Riding of Yorkshire Council notes that a new access track is proposed within the root protection area (RPA) of a veteran tree (T381; see ES Appendix 7.11: Arboricultural Impact Assessment [APP-115]) and, while it acknowledges that mitigation is proposed, East Riding of Yorkshire Council requests that access tracks be located outside of veteran tree RPAs.	The Applicant will continue to liaise with East Riding of Yorkshire Council on this matter.	Under discussion
ERYC24	Trees (Category A and B) Biodiversity	East Riding of Yorkshire Council notes that there would be no impacts to trees covered by a Tree Preservation Order but has concerns about the potential extent of loss of category B trees and potential impacts to T076, a category A oak.	The Applicant will continue to liaise with East Riding of Yorkshire Council on this matter.	Under discussion
ERYC25	Woodland Biodiversity	East Riding of Yorkshire Council recommends that an increase in longer lived species is included in the tree mix to provide resilience in the stock. Species such as walnut, small-leaved lime and sweet chestnut are present within order limits and the wider area.	The Applicant agrees to this request. The Outline LEMP [EN010157/APP/7.5 Revision 3] will be updated to include these species in the indicative planting mix. Theis updated document will beis submitted at subsequent deadlines Deadline 2.	Agreed
ERYC26	Hedgerows Design of Development	East Riding of Yorkshire Council confirms that losses of hedgerow should be minimised. It notes that an 8m indicative width for the cable route corridor is included but requests that, where important hedgerows are impacted, a commitment should be made to minimising the extent of removal further, and that where removal is required for visibility splays for construction only, that complete removal is avoided.	The Outline LEMP [EN010157/APP/7.5 Revision 3] states that where vegetation removal/pruning is required for access and/or visibility splays, the works should be limited to that amount required to achieve the appropriate access / visibility required. Pruning of vegetation will be preferred over removal wherever possible. The Applicant will continue to liaise with East Riding of Yorkshire Council on this matter.	Under discussion
ERYC27	Biodiversity Net Gain (BNG) Biodiversity	East Riding of Yorkshire Council notes some minor discrepancies between the metric and the assessment within ES Appendix 7.10: Biodiversity Net Gain Assessment [APP-114] and requests clarification on these. It also requests further justification behind some of the assumptions the assessment is based on.	The Applicant will continue to liaise with East Riding of Yorkshire Council on this matter.	Under discussion
ERYC28	Outline OEMP Biodiversity	East Riding of Yorkshire Council requests that Table 5-1 of the oOEMP [APP-154] should include procedures for implementing, adapting and monitoring any protected species licences.	The Outline LEMP [EN010157/APP/7.5 Revision 4] has been updated to state that due to the passive nature of the Proposed Development during the operational phase there are no significant effects anticipated on protected and notable species. However, in the event that any work outside the scope of the routine maintenance set out within the Outline OEMP [REP1-052] is required, the Applicant will appoint an ecologist prior to works. The appointed ecologist will assess potential effects on protected and	Under discussion

Ref	Topic	East Riding of Yorkshire Council's Position	Applicant's Position	Status
Kei	Торіс	East Riding of Forkshire Council's Position	notable species and if required complete appropriate mitigation and licence applications if required. The Outline LEMP [EN010157/APP/7.5 Revision 4] will be submitted at Deadline 2.The Applicant feels that the Outline LEMP [EN010157/APP/7.5 Revision 3] is a more suitable location for this information. The Outline LEMP [EN010157/APP/7.5 Revision 3] and ES Volume 3, Figure 3.4: Indicative Environmental Masterplan [APP-058] will	
			be updated to reflect any changes as necessary and resubmitted at	
Water			subsequent deadlines.	
ERYC29	Land drainage – surveys Hydrology and Flood Risk	East Riding of Yorkshire Council confirmed via email on 3 September 2025 that it is satisfied with the Applicant's approach to land drains, having previously commented that sites should be surveyed for existing land drainage systems and ensure that any works wshould not impact on existing drainage systems. Access should also be considered for future maintenance and inspections of existing watercourses.	The Applicant welcomes this response. The Applicant has obtained copies of all existing land drainage plans, where available, from the landowners. In addition, the Applicant has undertaken geophysical surveys which have validated the majority of these land drains. It will not be possible for the solar PV module mounting frames to be installed without damaging some drains. However, the effects are anticipated to be negligible. Furthermore, the Applicant has agreed through land option agreements with each landowner to commission two years of annual land drainage reviews with each landowner, following completion of construction, undertaken by an independent consultant. The Outline CEMP [EN010157/APP/7.2 Revision 2] has been updated to include the commitment to inspect land drains to ensure no damage has occurred or pollution pathways created. If land drains have been damaged, any remedial works will be identified and a plan for their delivery will be implemented. The updated Outline CEMP [EN010157/APP/7.2 Revision 2] is submitted at Deadline 1. The Proposed Development design incorporates setbacks from existing watercourses in accordance with the requirements of the Beverley and North Holderness Internal Drainage Board and the	Agreed Under discussion
ERYC30	Land drainage Surface water drainage strategy Hydrology and Flood Risk	It was agreed with East Riding of Yorkshire Council (Local Lead Local Flood Authority) and the Beverley and North Holderness Internal Drainage Board in an online meeting on 6 June 2024 that there would be no positive drainage. Instead, rainwater will-would be directed to ground as per the existing site. This drainage strategy was later amended to include positive drainage at the substations with a restricted	Environment Agency. The Applicant welcomes this response. The drainage strategy is incorporated within ES Volume 4, Appendix 5.6: Flood Risk Assessment [EN010157/APP/6.4 Revision 3].	Agreed Under discussion

Ref	Topic	East Riding of Yorkshire Council's Position	Applicant's Position	Status
		outfall to the nearby watercourses, following discussions with Beverley and North Holderness Internal Drainage Board. East Riding of Yorkshire Council (Local Lead Flood Authority) has agreed in principle to the changerevised approach.		
ERYC31	Scoping out Water as a chapter in the ES Approach to EIA	East Riding of Yorkshire Council agreed with the approach of scoping out Water as a standalone ES chapter.	The Applicant welcomes this response. The Applicant reached agreement with the Environment Agency prior to submission of the DCO Application that surface water and flood risk would be scoped out of the ES as a standalone Water chapter on the basis that ES Volume 4, Appendix 5.6: Flood Risk Assessment [EN010157/APP/6.4 Revision 3] (which demonstrates no significant impact) and ES Volume 4, Appendix 5.5: Water Framework Directive Screening and Scoping Report [EN010157/APP/6.4 Revision 2] are submitted in support of the DCO Application and groundwater quality remains scoped into ES Volume 2, Chapter 10: Land, Soils and Groundwater [APP-046]. Further explanation of the approach is provided within ES Volume 1, Chapter 5: Approach to the EIA [APP-041].	Agreed
Air Quality				
ERYC32	Scope and methodology	East Riding of Yorkshire Council agreed with the approach to the assessment of Air Quality.	The Applicant welcomes this response. See ES Volume 2, Chapter 6: Air Quality [APP-042] for details.	Agreed
Landacana	Air Quality			
Landscape a				
ERYC33	Residential Visual Amenity Assessment Landscape and Visual	East Riding of Yorkshire Council's appointed landscape consultants, 2B Consultants, agreed with the proposed approach for the Residential Visual Amenity Assessment.	The Applicant welcomes this response. See ES Volume 4, Appendix 11.5: Residential Visual Amenity Assessment [APP- 132] for details.	Agreed
ERYC34	Hedgerow/ tree planting Landscape and Visual	East Riding of Yorkshire Council's landscape consultants were satisfied with the extent and design of proposed screening planting following amendments after the site walkover on 28 August 2024.	The Applicant welcomes this response. See ES Volume 2, Chapter 11: Landscape and Visual [APP-047], ES Volume 3, Figure 3.4: Indicative Environmental Masterplan [APP-058], and the Outline LEMP [EN010157/APP/7.5 Revision 3] for details.	Agreed
ERYC35	Viewpoints and photomontages Landscape and Visual	East Riding of Yorkshire Council's landscape consultants broadly agreed with the viewpoint locations presented in the PEIR and with the viewpoints proposed to be included as photomontages. However, they requested the inclusion of additional viewpoints from	The Applicant agreed to this request. Viewpoints from these locations were included but it was determined that potential visual amenity effects on users of the trails could be scoped out of the LVIA. See Table 11-3 of ES Volume 2, Chapter 11: Landscape and Visual [APP-047] and Viewpoint 28 (Trans Pennine Trail) and	Agreed

Ref	Topic	East Riding of Yorkshire Council's Position	Applicant's Position	Status
	·	within ZTV areas, potentially from higher ground, outside the agreed 3km study area, specifically views from the Minster Way Trail and Trans Pennine Trail.	Viewpoint 29 (Minster Way) in ES Volume 4, Appendix 11.6: Viewpoints and Visualisations Part 2 [AS-002].	
ERYC36	Listed buildings Landscape and Visual	East Riding of Yorkshire Council's landscape consultants agreed that listed buildings only need to be included in the LVIA if there are appropriate reasons to do so, e.g. National Trust properties which are also visitor attractions.	The Applicant welcomes this response. Listed buildings which are also residential properties are included in the LVIA within the assessments on settlements, local residents and/ or Residential Visual Amenity Assessment as appropriate. Other listed buildings are not included in the LVIA, and the Applicant considers that effects are more appropriately assessed in ES Volume 2, Chapter 9: Cultural Heritage [EN010157/APP/6.2 Revision 2].	Agreed
Noise and Vi	bration			
ERYC37	Monitoring and assessment approach Noise and Vibration	East Riding of Yorkshire Council agreed with the proposed Noise and Vibration monitoring and assessment approach in principle, with an expectation of noise-producing elements being situated away from noise-sensitive residential properties as part of the site layout design.	The Applicant welcomes this response. Appropriate buffers from noise-sensitive receptors have been incorporated into the design of the Proposed Development, as set out in ES Volume 2, Chapter 12: Noise and Vibration [APP-048] and the Design Parameters Document [EN010157/APP/5.8 Revision 2].	Agreed
Transport an	d Access			
ERYC38	Construction access – temporary speed reductions Transport and Access	East Riding of Yorkshire Council indicated that temporary speed reductions on routes during the construction phase of the Proposed Development would be acceptable in order to ensure that visibility could be achieved without requiring the removal of hedges and trees.	The Applicant welcomes this response. See the Traffic Measures Plans [PDA-008], and Schedule 7 of the Draft Development Consent Order [EN010157/APP/3.1 Revision 4] for details.	Agreed
ERYC39	Highways works (passing places/ widening) and traffic management measures Transport and Access	East Riding of Yorkshire Council agreed with proposed highways works, including updates following design changes, and confirmed that, if constructed to adoptable standards, East Riding of Yorkshire Council would be willing to adopt passing places/ areas of widening following completion of the construction phase of the Proposed Development.	The Applicant welcomes this response. See the Streets, Rights of Way and Access Plans [PDA-005], the Traffic Measures Plans [PA-008], and Schedules 4, 5, 6 and 7 of the Draft Development Consent Order [EN010157/APP/3.1 Revision 4] for details.	Agreed
ERYC40	Transport Assessment Transport and Access	East Riding of Yorkshire Council agreed with the proposed scope of the Transport Assessment.	The Applicant welcomes this response. See ES Volume 4, Appendix 14.1: Transport Assessment [APP-138] for details.	Agreed
ERYC41	Large Loads Transport and Access	East Riding of Yorkshire Council confirmed that it had no issues with the proposed Large Load routes and agreed in principle to the closure of Meaux Lane should it be required.	The Applicant welcomes this response. See ES Volume 2, Chapter 14: Transport and Access [APP-050] and Section 5 of the Outline Construction Traffic Management Plan (Outline CTMP) [EN010157/APP/7.7 Revision 2] for details.	Agreed

Ref	Topic	East Riding of Yorkshire Council's Position	Applicant's Position	Status
ERYC42	Construction traffic (HGVs) along Park Lane, Cottingham Transport and Access	East Riding of Yorkshire Council disagrees with the proposed routing of construction traffic (HGVs) along Park Lane, Cottingham due to potential impacts on local residents. Instead, East Riding of Yorkshire Council suggests utilising the proposed new access route off the A1079 associated with Creyke Beck substation extension work (Wanlass Beck), which is subject to a planning application by other developers. East Riding of Yorkshire Council recommended engaging with National Grid and Orsted to better understand their timeframes.	The Applicant has investigated using the proposed new access route off the A1079 and has sought to reach out to other relevant parties, i.e. NGET and Orsted, however engagement with Orsted has halted due to the discontinuation of the Hornsea 4 offshore wind farm project. The Applicant maintains its position that Park Lane is the most appropriate option given the short duration of the works in this location (several months to complete the laying of the final 700m of underground cable and connection works into the National Grid Creyke Beck substation) and the low volume of vehicle movements required (10 HGVs per day, i.e. 20 HGV movements, plus 10 LGVs, i.e. 20 LGV movements) especially in comparison to other consented schemes utilising Park Lane (e.g. 23/03926/STPLF), as well as the lack of certainty over when the access route off the A1079 will be delivered, meaning the Applicant cannot rely on it to provide access to the Proposed Development. Management of construction related HGVs and other vehicles would be controlled through the Construction Traffic Management Plan, which will be substantially in accordance with the Outline CTMP [EN010157/APP/7.7 Revision 2] and which will provide protection to other road users on Park Lane. The Outline CTMP [EN010157/APP/7.7 Revision 2] will behas been updated to include a commitment to no construction traffic along Park Lane during school pick-up/drop-off times. This will avoid construction traffic along the nearby road network (e.g. Northgate Road/Harland Way depending on the final traffic routing) during the pick-up and drop-off times of primary and secondary schools in Cottingham. The updated Outline CTMP [EN010157/APP/7.7 Revision 2] was submitted at Deadline 1.	Under discussion
ERYC42a	New access points/ routes Transport and	In a meeting on 20 August 2025, East Riding of Yorkshire Council agreed that the proposed new A165 access (Change 3 in the Second Notification of Proposed Changes to the DCO Application [AS-015])	The Applicant welcomes this response and agrees to this request. The Outline CTMP [EN010157/APP/7.7 Revision 3] has been updated to include the relevant mitigation and new Access and Highway Mitigation Plans showing the access general	<u>Under discussion</u>
	Access	For the proposed new access route utilising the existing farm access off the A1035 (Change 9), East Riding of Yorkshire Council suggested that a left turn only entry and exit restriction enforced for HGVs would be a favourable management solution. Additionally, East Riding of Yorkshire Council confirmed that a passing place would be required within the inter-visibility splay of vehicles entering the Site, or that sufficient width should be provided at the access for two vehicles to pass.	arrangements have been prepared to ensure that HGVs can enter and exit with appropriate passing provision at the A1035 access. The plans are presented in Appendix G of the updated ES Volume 4, Appendix 14.1: Transport Assessment [EN010157/APP/6.4 Revision 2]. The updated documents are submitted at Deadline 2.	

Ref	Topic	East Riding of Yorkshire Council's Position	Applicant's Position	Status
Material Asse	ets and Waste			
ERYC43	Scoping out material assets and waste as separate ES chapter Waste	East Riding of Yorkshire Council's Principal Environmental Control Officer agreed that materials and waste could be scoped out from full assessment within the Environmental Statement, as the topic issues will be sufficiently covered through other ES chapters and relevant management plans.	The Applicant welcomes this response. Potential streams and volumes of construction materials and waste disposal are covered within the Outline Site Waste Management Plan [APP-161] , while indirect impacts associated with materials consumption and waste disposal (e.g. release of greenhouse gas emissions, water consumption, amenity impacts, ecological impacts, etc) are assessed in ES Volume 2 , Chapter 7 : Biodiversity , ES Volume 2 [EN010157/APP/6.2 Revision 2] , Chapter 8 : Climate [APP-043] , and ES Volume 2 , Chapter 10 : Landscape and Visual [APP-047] .	Agreed
			Waste management measures are set out in the Outline CEMP [EN010157/APP/7.2 Revision 2], the Outline OEMP [EN010157/APP/7.3 Revision 2], the Outline DEMP [EN010157/APP/7.2 Revision 2], and the Outline Site Waste Management Plan [APP-161].	
Land, Soil an	d Groundwater			
ERYC44	Minerals safeguarding – scoping out of the ES	East Riding of Yorkshire Council agreed that issues relating to mineral extraction sites and mineral safeguarding areas can be scoped out of the ES, comprising an appendix to the Planning Statement instead.	The Applicant welcomes this response. See Appendix 4 - Minerals Safeguarding Assessment to the Planning Statement [APP-147].	Agreed
Cumulative E	Approach to EIA			
ERYC45		East Riding of Yorkshire Council agreed with the proposed methodology and shortlist of other existing and/or approved developments. East Riding of Yorkshire Council suggested a number of additional other existing and/or approved developments that should be considered in the cumulative effects assessment.	The Applicant agreed to consider the other developments suggested by East Riding of Yorkshire Council in the long list (ES Volume 4, Appendix 15.1: Long List of Other Existing and/or Approved Development [APP-143]) and, where the cumulative criteria was met, they were taken forward to the short list (Table 15-3 of ES Volume 2, Chapter 15: Cumulative Effects [APP-051]). Where relevant, they have also been considered in Habitats Regulations Assessment - Information to inform Appropriate Assessment [EN010157/APP/5.3 Revision 2].	Agreed
Public Rights	of Way (PRoW)			
ERYC46	Outstanding claims for PRoW Design of Development	East Riding of Yorkshire Council's Definitive Map team confirmed that the proposed permissive path route (through Land Areas D and E) was acceptable and that, as long as the Applicant commits to providing the proposed permissive path route for the lifetime of the Proposed Development, East Riding of Yorkshire Council would not seek to designate the provisional route as PRoW during that period (notwithstanding its	The Applicant welcomes this response. The Outline OEMP [EN010157/APP/7.3 Revision 2] includes a commitment to maintaining access to footpaths, including the proposed permissive paths. throughout the operational phase of the Proposed Development.	Agreed

Ref	Topic	East Riding of Yorkshire Council's Position	Applicant's Position	Status
		statutory duty to process and determine an external		
ERYC47	Outline Rights of Way and Access Management Plan – terminology Design of Development	application if received). East Riding of Yorkshire Council's Countryside Access Officer commented that clarity was needed regarding the terminology used in the Outline Rights of Way and Access Management Plan [EN010157/APP/7.9], i.e. the nuances between a footpath, a bridleway, a restricted byway, and a Byway Open to All Traffic (BOAT).	The Applicant agrees to the request. The Outline Rights of Way and Access Management Plan [EN010157/APP/7.9 Revision 2] accordingly and is submitted at Deadline 1.	Agreed
ERYC48	Outline Rights of Way and Access Management Plan – alternative routes Design of Development	East Riding of Yorkshire Council's Countryside Access Officer commented that diverted PRoW should ideally avoid main roads, particularly those at national speed limit. The preference would be for them to 'chicane' around works areas, reverting once works are complete. This would require appropriate signage for users and briefing of all site workers/ visitors of the possibility of PRoW users crossing, or possibly being on, the haul/access road.	As set out in the Outline Rights of Way and Access Management Plan [EN010157/APP/7.9 Revision 2], there are no temporary PRoW diversions proposed as part of the Proposed Development. The Outline Rights of Way and Access Management Plan [EN010157/APP/7.9 Revision 2] sets out that any temporary closures/ restrictions required would be consulted on with East Riding of Yorkshire Council in advance of these taking place.	Under discussion
Population an	nd Human Health			
ERYC49	Health impacts Human Health	East Riding of Yorkshire Council's Senior Public Health Officer agreed with the Applicant's proposed approach to considering health impacts.	The Applicant has not undertaken a standalone Health Impact Assessment as potential health impacts arising from the construction and operation of the Proposed Development are considered within ES Volume 2, Chapter 6: Air Quality [APP-042], ES Volume 2, Chapter 11: Landscape and Visual [APP-047], ES Volume 2, Chapter 12: Noise and Vibration [APP-048] and ES Volume 2, Chapter 14: Transport and Access [APP-050]. This approach is in accordance with the Scoping Opinion response received from the Planning Inspectorate (see ES Volume 4, Appendix 5.2: Scoping Opinion [APP-098]).	Agreed
ERYC50	Construction workers and demand on local health services Human Health	East Riding of Yorkshire Council's Senior Public Health Officer agreed that the anticipated increase in staff/workers in the area associated with the construction of the Proposed Development would not have a significant impact on local health services.	The Applicant welcomes this response. It is anticipated that a small number of workers would stay in the vicinity of the Site during the 24-month construction phase (see ES Volume 2, Chapter 13: Population [APP-049] for details), a percentage of whom may require local healthcare facilities. This is not anticipated to result in a significant short-term increase in the demand for local health care facilities.	Agreed
ERYC51	Tourism Population	East Riding of Yorkshire Council is satisfied that given there are no tourism sites directly adjacent to the Proposed Development and subject to appropriate landscaping and the design parameters proposed, the	The Applicant welcomes this response. See the Outline LEMP [EN010157/APP/7.5 Revision 3] for details on proposed landscaping and planting, and the Design Parameters Document [EN010157/APP/5.8 Revision 2].	Agreed

Ref	Topic	East Riding of Yorkshire Council's Position	Applicant's Position	Status
		Proposed Development would not adversely impact upon the tourism attraction of the area.		
Planning Pol	icy			
ERYC52	Principle of development Principle of Development	ERYC support the principle of the proposed development with regards to national and local planning policy on this application site provided there are no unacceptable impacts.	The Applicant welcomes this response.	Agreed
ERYC53	Local Policy Accordance Policy and Legislation	ERYC are satisfied that all relevant policies in the ERLP SD have been identified with regard had to what were the current and emerging Local Plans at that time. It should be noted that the East Riding Local Plan Strategy Document 2016 has been superseded by the East Riding Local Plan Strategy Document Update 2025. ERYC are unable to confirm compliance with the policies at this time however the LIR will expand on this.	The Applicant has identified the relevant local planning policies and set out how the Proposed Development is in accordance with these within the Planning Statement [APP-147] , specifically Appendix 1-Policy Accordance Tables. The Applicant notes ERYCs point on further information in the Local Impact Report and will seek to update this matter following review of this document.	Under discussion
ERYC54	National Policy Accordance Policy and Legislation	ERYC are satisfied that the relevant sections and paragraphs of the NPPF have been identified and the relevant National Planning Statements have also been identified. ERYC are unable to confirm compliance with the National Policy at this time however the LIR will expand on this.	The Applicant has identified the relevant national planning policies and set out how the Proposed Development is in accordance with these within the Planning Statement [APP-147] , specifically Appendix 1- Policy Accordance Tables. The Applicant notes ERYCs point on further information in the Local Impact Report and will seek to update this matter following review of this document.	Under discussion

4 Signatures

4.1.1	This Statement of Common Ground is agreed upon:
On beha	alf of East Riding of Yorkshire Council:
Name:	
Signatu	re:
Date:	
On beha	alf of the Applicant:
Name:	
Signatu	re:
Date:	

